
Harry Landis 207 Oak Street Norton, MA 02766 508 285 7568

To **US District Court** Southern District of California

cc Claims Administrator bcc Atty J. Pasqualucci



Regarding Case No. 3:13-cv-00580-BEN-RBB In Re Maxwell Technologies Inc., Securities Litigation

NUNC PRO TUNC 4/10/2015


To The Court,

I received on Mar 27 notice that I might be a member of the class of investors covered by this case. The notice stipulated that I must file a claim by 2 Feb 2015. This seems a little unreasonable, as the notice was postmarked 24 Mar. Further, it states that if I want to object to the settlement, I have to do it by 15 Jan 2015, which would be even more difficult. But even though it appears the fix is in, I hereby object. And even though I am supposedly entitled by the settlement to a substantial payment, I still feel the settlement is grossly unfair and probably unethical. The documents I received indicate that if covered investors do not respond in time, their damages will be divided among those who do respond in time. And as it appears that the plaintiff's lawyers have gone to some trouble to make it difficult to respond in time, they will apparently collect the bulk of the proceeds, far in excess of any damages they may have suffered. This sort of thing is one of the many reasons that people have such a low opinion of lawyers. Further, I see no evidence that Maxwell did anything wrong.

If I had my choice, I would prefer that this whole lawsuit was thrown out and the lawyers disbarred, and no one, including me, got any money from Maxwell or its insurers.

As I said, I expect the fix is in, and nothing will come of this letter. But this is my position.

Harry Landis



92101\$380E

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTALT COUNT

CLERK OF THE BURT

333 WEST BROMOWAY, SUITE 420

SAN PIEGO, CA 92101

BROCKTON MA 023 CATRICUS PRIST

> 3:13-ca-00580-13EN-1288 Moxuecl Technicia 多で中

1011-1700

MADE

APR 1 0 2015

THE SUSTRICT COURT

PRECEIVED